1 2 3 4 5	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney ZULKAR KHAN Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Attorneys for Plaintiff				
6	United States of America				
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9   10   11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-00202-DJC STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE			
2	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER			
13	JESUS AGUILAR-MENDOZA,	DATE: March 13, 2025 TIME: 9:00 a.m.			
4	Defendant.	COURT: Daniel J. Calabretta			
15					
16	STIPULATION				
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and				
8	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby st	ipulate as follows:			
9	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on March 13, 2025.				
20	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until May 22				
21	2025, at 9:00 AM, and to exclude time between March 13, 2025, and May 22, 2025, under Local Code				
22	T4.				
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:			
24	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case			
25	includes investigative reports and related	includes investigative reports and related documents exceeds 1000 pages of documents. All of			
26	this discovery is in the process of being p	produced directly to counsel and/or made available for			
27	inspection and copying.				
28	///				
I	II				

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charge, review and copy discovery for this matter, discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 13, 2025 to May 22, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 7, 2025

28

MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney

/s/ ZULKAR KHAN ZULKAR KHAN Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:24-cr-00202-DJC	Document 24	Filed 03/10/25	Page 3 of 3
Dated: March 7, 2025	/s/ Hootan Baigmohammadi		
	F	Hootan Baigmoham	madi
	(	Counsel for Defendant	
	Jesus Aguilar-Mendoza		

**ORDER** 

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2025.

Dated: March 10, 2025 /s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE